

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	O	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/534,847		11/04/2005	Albert Fernandez Mateu	229/1/059	8910	
170	7590	04/05/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
		LDBERG	HUYNH,	HUYNH, KHOA D		
25 EAST SALEM STREET SUITE 419 HACKENSACK, NJ 07601				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3751		
				DATE MAILED: 04/05/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Examiner Khoa D. Huynh Pears on the cover sheet with the of the cover sheet with the of the cover sheet with a cover sheet with a poly and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cover sheet	(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, N. mely filed in the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Khoa D. Huynh Dears on the cover sheet with the of the cover sheet with	3751 correspondence address (S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, N. mely filed in the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTHO ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE and the of this communication, even if timely files	(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, N. mely filed in the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTHOM ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (36(a)). In no event, however, may a reply be tire will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE (b) date of this communication, even if timely filed	(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, N. mely filed in the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tire will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE to date of this communication, even if timely files	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
ovember 2005.						
Application Papers						
r. epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is obsaminer. Note the attached Office	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receiv	ion No ed in this National Stage					
6) Other:						
	wn from consideration. If continuous consideration is relection requirement. If continuous consideration is required if the drawing(s) is obtained. Note the attached Office continuous c					

Application/Control Number: 10/534,847

Art Unit: 3751

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: line 1, the recitation "Disposable protector" should be changed to read --A disposable protector--.

Appropriate correction is suggested.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 recites "the piece having a central weakening line along which a part of the piece is folded and covers front and side areas of the toilet next to the seat ring". Such recitation renders the claim indefinite because such recitation is inferentially included as part of the claimed combination of elements (the seat ring of a toilet). Should applicant intend the "toilet having a seat ring" to be a positive element of the claimed combination, then antecedent basis should be provided therefor. If not, it is suggested that applicant adopts language such as --adapted to be-- when relating claimed elements to the "toilet".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/534,847

Art Unit: 3751

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claim 1 (as best understood as a subcombination claim) is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sahuquillo (ES 1016953) in view of Earle (2251732).

The Sahuquillo reference discloses a disposable protector for a seat ring of a toilet (Fig. 1). The protector includes a sandwich-like piece (1-4) having two layers of cellulosic material, and a fine sheet (5) of plastic material between the two layers. A top layer (1, 2, 4) on an upper side has a fine, multi-perforated layer which is adapted to contact with the user skin, and a lower layer (3) on the lower side having adhesive material (6) to attach the piece to the seat ring.

The Sahuquillo reference DIFFERS in that it does not specifically include a weakening line as claimed. Attention, however, is directed to the Earle reference which discloses another disposable protector for a seat ring of a toilet. The protector includes a weakening line (the dotted lines as shown in Figure 1) for allowing the elongated portions (at 8, 9) to be folded and covered the front and sides areas of the toilet next to the seat ring. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the Sahuquillo reference by employing a weakening line, in view of the teaching of Earle, in order to prevent contact of a user's limbs, anatomy, body or clothing with the front and sides areas of the toilet.

Art Unit: 3751

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure and could be used to formulate rejections if needed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Khoa D. Huynh whose telephone number is (571) 272-4888. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:00-3:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Justine Yu can be reached on (571) 272-4835. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Khoa D. Huynh Primary Examiner Art Unit 3751

HK 04/02/2006